

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/736,703	12/17/2003	Woo Young So	6161.0016.D1	5676
759	07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
McGuireWoods			RICHARDS	, N DREW
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 22102			2815	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	No. Applicant(s)	
10/736,703	SO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
N. Drew Richards	2815	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDM**ENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. \boxtimes For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \boxtimes will not be entered, or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that Shimada doesn't disclose an insulating film formed over the substrate having an opening portion and the pixel electrode extends from a portion of the transparent conductive layer forming one of the source/drain electrodes and is exposed through the opening portion of the insulation film. Applicant argues that a pixel electrode 126 is not shown in figure 7 of Shimada and is not disclosed with any sort of opening. First, the claim does not require the pixel electrode have an opening. Second, though Shimada may not explicitly label 126 anywhere in figure 7, a full reading of their disclosure implicitly teaches that the portion of the transparent conductive layer 107 exposed in the opening in the insulation film 108 is the pixel electrode. First, note column 2 lines 37-39 where the pixel electrode is formed by removing protection film 108. Film 108 is formed directly on layer 107, thus if merely removing a portion of layer 108 forms the pixel electrode the exposed portion of 107 is clearly the pixel electrode. Further, the exposed portion of 107 clearly meets all the strucrual limitations of the "pixel electrode" of the claim, and thus anticipates the claimed structure regardless of whether Shimada labels that exposed portion as 126 in figure 7 or not. Applicant also argues that Shimada does not disclose an insulation film coating the metal electrode. Figure 7 clearly shows insulating film 108 "enclosing" the metal electrode 106 as claimed. Note that the claims do not require the insulating film "coating" the metal electrode, and in fact does not recite either "coating" or "metal electrode" so applicant's arguments as to these features has no bearing on the patentability of the actualy limitations recited in the claims. For these reasons, the rejection is considered proper..